

## LCR Workshop Summary of Feedback

### Q2: What were the main positives of the event?

Breadth of coverage, good quality presentations

Good speakers and choice of topics; good range of attendees, in particular those from the industry. Unfortunately I could not attend the workshop day so cannot comment on this.

Awareness of commonality of issues, agreement that signposting of evidence and data needs to improve, improved awareness of how much information is out there and all the different formats

Dialogue between professionals. Identification of common issues and willingness to find a way forward. Reinforced critical importance of Local Env Record Centres

### Q3: What could have worked better/ been done differently?

Run as a single day event? (not able to make day 2 due to other work commitments)

Personally I think a more detailed presentation of evidence itself at the start of the day would have been useful, e.g. covering evidence sources, quality of evidence, usefulness and potential issues with using it.

More end-user i.e. developer participation to get the POV of those who need evidence

Day 1 too heavy from a presentation perspective.

### Q4: Based on the two day workshop what do you think are the main priorities for the LCR evidence strategy?

(Based on day 1 presentations) still lacking one stop data repository/metadata, resource constraints - money/staff/server capacity, mechanisms for identifying and addressing data gaps.

- 1) The strategy needs provide a framework for prioritising and delivering the evidence required to support plan and policy decision making; and
- 2) mechanisms for communicating evidence needs to academia and others and encouraging their uptake of relevant evidence activities

Finding a way to better signpost existing evidence and data; ensuring that any new evidence and data gets signposted/highlighted so that LCR region stakeholders are aware of it as early as possible; make evidence strategy relatable to developer/end-user needs

Harvest grey literature, increase awareness of information locations, find resources for active data management, joint commissioning and sharing resources, evidence framework to enable this to happen and identify priority gaps.

**Q5: Would your organisation be interested in providing comments on the draft Evidence strategy?**

---

Yes

---

If time allows

Yes

---

**Q6: If so who would be the best person to contact? Please provide contact details if they haven't attended the event**

Me

**Q7: Would you or your organisation be interested in attending a similar event in the future?**

Yes

Yes

Yes

---

**Q8: Do you have any additional comments?**

Personally, I found the meeting very informative and very useful. I thought there was a good cross-section of people and was very grateful for the opportunity to participate

Thanks to everyone for embracing this event so positively! Food and venue good.